## DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Philippine Atmospheric, Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration (PAGASA)

## PAGASA BIDS AND AWARDS COMMITTEE (PBAC)

## Resolution No. 2022-057

Resolution Denying the Motion for Reconsideration of Extreme Builders for the entitled project "Improvement of Existing Access Road, Pathway and Perimeter Pavement of Building at ISU-Echague, Isabela";

Declaring 1st Failure of Bidding; and Recommending Approval

WHEREAS, the Philippine Atmospheric, Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration (PAGASA), through the PAGASA Bids and Awards Committee, advertised the Invitation to Bid (IB) at the PAGASA website and PAGASA Bulletin board continuously for 7 days from 23-30 June 2022, the procurement of the following civil works/infrastructure project described hereunder, with its corresponding Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC), under the reference, P.R. No. 2022-06-0493/ I.B. No. 2022-002INF, to wit:

Particulars	ABC	Contract Duration
Improvement of Existing Access Road, Pathway and Perimeter Pavement of Building at ISU-Echague, Isabela	Php1,528,832.93	60cd

WHEREAS, in response to the above advertisement, only one (1) prospective bidder, MC Taguba Construction expressed its intention to participate in the bidding of the aforesaid Project, and attended thru Zoom platform the Pre-bid Conference conducted by the PBAC on 1 July 2022;

WHEREAS, any and all noted amendments and deviations discussed during the abovementioned Pre-bid conference, has been duly issued through a **Supplemental Bid Bulletin (SBB)**, with reference to **Addendum No. 2022-002INF-01** dated 27 June 2022 and **Addendum No. 2022-002INF-02** dated 04 July 2022 and herein made part of this Resolution as **Annex "A" and Annex "B"**;

WHEREAS, on 13 July 2022, the schedule for Submission and Opening of Envelope I (Eligibility and Technical Components) and Envelope II (Financial Component), two interested bidder/s, namely, (1) GR8 BUILDERS CONSTRUCTION and (2) EXTREME BUILDERS timely submitted their bid tender documents for the project; however, failed to pass the preliminary evaluation of bids due to failure to comply with the technical documents to be submitted, more specifically:

Name of Bidder	Evaluation Result	
1. GR8 BUILDERS CONSTRUCTION	Submitted Bid Securing Declaration Form did not indicate the competent evidence of identity of the prospective bidder or his duly authorized representative.  Failure to comply the instruction to bidders, particularly "non-compliance with the 2004 Rules on Notarial Practice."	

a Brian B. Bungaobng End-user Non-submission of Tab J-1 Authority of the Signatory, preferably the Owner's Affidavit

 Non-submission of Tab F document – Joint Venture Agreement Form
 Failure to comply the instruction to bidders, particularly the use of the prescribed bidding forms. PAGASA's bidding form is determined/identified bearing a watermark of the Agency's official logo

**WHEREAS**, the PAGASA BAC declared the outright rejection of the bidder's documents due to failure to comply with the instruction to bidders and were given three (3) days to file their respective motion for reconsideration.

WHEREAS, on 15 July 2022, the BAC received a letter from EXTREME BUILDERS requesting for consideration for the outright rejection of their bid documents.

WHEREAS, Rule IX of the Revised IRR of RA 9184, requires that the preliminary examination of bids be conducted by merely checking for the presence or absence of documentary requirements using a non-discretionary "pass/fail" criterion.

"Section 30 Preliminary Examination of Bids. The BAC shall open the first bid envelopes to determine each bidder's compliance with the documents required to be submitted for eligibility and for the technical requirements, as prescribed in this IRR. For this purpose, the BAC shall check the submitted documents of each bidder against a checklist of required documents to ascertain if they are all present, using a non-discretionary "pass/fail" criterion, as stated in the Instructions to Bidders. If a bidder submits the required document, it shall be rated "passed" for that particular requirement. In this regard, bids that fail to include any requirement or are incomplete or patently insufficient shall be considered as "failed."

**WHEREAS**, Section II - Instruction to Bidders particularly No. 6 Bidder's Responsibilities clearly state that the bidder should have carefully read and comply with all the stated documentary requirements, otherwise the bidder will be disqualified.

**WHEREAS,** for the failure of GR8 BUILDERS CONSTRUCTION to comply with the three (3) days duration to file a motion for consideration, the BAC finds no cogent reason to reverse its decision.

NOW, THEREFORE, We, the Members of the Bids and Awards Committee, hereby RESOLVE as it is hereby RESOLVED:

- a) to DENY the Motion for Reconsideration of EXTREME BUILDERS for the project "Improvement of Existing Access Road, Pathway and Perimeter Pavement of Building at ISU-Echague, Isabela";
- b) to DECLARE, the 1st Failure of Bidding for the above-entitled Project;
- c) to **RECOMMEND**, the immediate **re-posting** thereof for re-bidding purposes; and
- d) to **RECOMMEND**, **finally**, the **APPROVAL** of aforementioned findings and recommendations.

RESOLVED, this 18th day of July 2022 at the Amihan Conference Room, 2nd Floor PAGASA Main Building, Science Garden Compound, BIR Road, Brgy. Central, Quezon City.

**BRIAN B. BUNGABONG** 

End-user

Did not Participate **CRISTIAN D. SANVICTORES** Member

JOEL C. RIVERA 1st Vice-Chairperson ATTY. ERIC CHRISTOPHER AMADO J. AGSAOAY

2nd Vice-Chairperson

PAJUELAS, Ph.D.

Chairperson

APPROVED/DISAPPROVED:

VICENTE B. MALANO, Ph.D. Administrator